Research Article

Seroprevalence and molecular diagnosis of sheep brucellosis in Dakahlia governorate, Egypt

Mohamed El-Diasty[1], Rana El-Said [2*]and Adel Abdelkhalek [3]

[1] Mansoura Provincial Laboratory, Animal Health Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt
[2] Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansura, Egypt
[3] Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Badr University in Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Brucellosis is an endemic disease among livestock and humans in Egypt. Sheep are the most common type of livestock ruminant in Egypt and considered the fundamental etiology for spreading and maintaining *B. melitensis* either in human being or animal populations. In the current study, we investigated the seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep herds reared in Bilqase, one of the biggest cities at Dakahlia governorate in Egypt's Delta region. In total, 610 sheep from seven herds were investigated. Anti-*Brucella* antibodies were detected in 48 (7.8%) samples tested by Buffered Acidified Plate Antigen Test (BAPAT), in 44 (7.2%) samples tested by Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) and in 41 (6.7%) samples tested by Milk Ring Test (MRT). The isolation rate was 29.6% (16 out of 54 examined samples). *Brucella* organism was isolated from three aborted fetuses, one tissue sample of slaughtered serologically positive ewe and 12 milk samples. The Abortus Melitensis Ovis Suis-PCR (AMOS-PCR) confirmed all *Brucella* strains as *B. melitensis*. More than three successive negative serological tests are required to declare that the infected herd is free from brucellosis. In conclusion, no single serological test could conclusively diagnose brucellosis in endemic areas. Confirmation of results with molecular diagnosis or culture is indispensable in diagnosis. *B. melitensis* was the prevalent serotype among sheep in Dakahlia governorate.

Keywords: Brucellosis, Sheep, Milk, Serology, Isolation, Egypt

Introduction

Brucellosis is a ubiquitous zoonotic disease and endemic in Egypt for thousands of years. Brucellosis has been detected at high levels among ruminants nationwide, particularly in large intensive breeding farms (Refai, 2002; Wareth et al., 2014). Sheep are the most common and widespread livestock species in Egypt due to their ability to graze on a large scale and their need for little care (FAOSTAT). On the other hand, the number of cattle herds has increased because of their greater utilization for meat and milk production (compared with buffaloes). The current number of sheep in the Egyptian field is 5.69 million heads. The majority of these animals are reared in the open system, such as mobile grazing flocks in the desert, Bedouin areas, and green fields between villages and towns (Elshazly and Youngs, 2019). Individuals' transmission of brucellosis occurs through close contact with contaminated abortion discharges and fetal membranes or commonly through consumption of infected non-pasteurized milk and dairy products (van den Brom et al., 2020).

In general, the diagnosis of brucellosis in sheep is challenging and is mainly based on bacteriological and immunological tests (Ren and Peng, 2020). *Brucella* is excreted in huge quantities at parturition and could be cultured from a broad spectrum of material such as vaginal discharges, placenta, fetal stomach contents, and milk using suitable selective culture media (Ebrahimi et al., 2014). The fecal and environmental contamination with the infected material is maintained to a minimum to give the highest possibility of an effective isolation rate. However, if additional material is not available or contaminated, the fetal stomach contents are commonly otherwise sterile and a good source for *Brucella* isolation. Suitable materials for isolation also include supramammary, internal iliac and retropharyngeal lymph nodes, udder tissues, testes and gravid uterus. Bacterial colonies might be provisionally recognized as *Brucella* based on their colony morphology and appearance. However, the conclusive identification of *Brucella* spp. can only be achieved using specific procedures described at *Brucella* reference centers (El-Diasty, 2009).

In Egypt, sheep are the main source for spreading and maintaining *B. melitensis* in humans and animals (El-Diasty, 2009). Indeed, the rearing system

of sheep in Egypt as a migratory flock and the easy way to migrate between different territories represents a smooth way to transmit the infection (Abdel-Hamid et al., 2017). The clinical manifestations of brucellosis in sheep are reproductive disorders, abortion, retained placenta, orchitis and epididymitis (OIE, 2016). Routine diagnosis of brucellosis and comprehensive surveys on the prevalence of ovine brucellosis, especially in developing countries, still exclusively performed by serological tests (screening and confirmatory) due to their low price, easily applied and highly sensitive (Ducrotoy et al., 2018; Kalleshamurthy et al., 2018).

Diagnosis based upon serology, slaughtering of seropositive sheep, accompanied by vaccination of negatives and applying strict hygienic measures constitutes the practical eradication program for control of bovine brucellosis in endemic areas (Hashem et al., 2020). It is worth mentioning that *B. melitensis* biovar 3 was and still the predominant pathovar in sheep in Egypt (Refai, 2002; Wareth et al., 2014; Abdel-Hamid et al., 2020; Hegazy et al., 2020; Wareth et al., 2020).

Molecular techniques such as Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) are the most efficient method for detecting *Brucella* spp. from bacterial isolates, as it is an accurate technique that allows the rapid diagnosis of brucellosis (Fekete et al., 1990; Baddour, 2012). Thus, the current study aimed to estimate the herd prevalence of brucellosis in sheep in a city of Delta region by serology and identification of circulating *Brucella* species by conventional bacteriological methods and PCR. Furthermore, the test and slaughter strategy was evaluated in two herds.

Material and Methods
Sampling
A total of 610 sheep belonging to seven herds and reared as mobilized flocks move between different villages were investigated for brucellosis incidence (Table 1). The evaluation of the test and slaughter program was performed on herd no.2 (120 animals) and herd no.4 (115 animals) because these two herds were under our continuous supervision, and the communication with the sheep holders was easier. Animals were examined every three weeks, and positive animals were removed immediately for slaughtering. Animals were serologically tested until obtaining three successive negative tests. A total of 41 milk samples were taken from seropositive ewes. Stomach content, spleen, liver and lung specimens were taken from three aborted fetuses, and tissue specimens (liver, spleen and lymph nodes) from ten seropositive ewes were collected after slaughtering for isolation.

Serology
All serum samples were subjected to Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) and Buffered Acidified Plate Antigen Test (BAPAT) as screening tests according to OIE Manual (OIE, 2018). Antigens were obtained from Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbassia, Cairo, Egypt. Milk samples were examined using the Milk Ring Test (MRT) obtained from AHVLA,

New Haw, Addlestone, Surrey KT15 3NB, UK. MRT was carried out according to OIE Manual (OIE, 2016).

Bacteriological isolation and identification
Isolation of *Brucella* species from milk samples, aborted foeti, and tissue specimens was carried out according to the FAO/WHO Expert Committee's recommendations on Brucellosis (Alton et al., 1988; OIE, 2016). Briefly, all suspect colonies were identified as *Brucella* spp. using classical biotyping methods according to colony morphology, biochemical tests (oxidase, catalase, urease), CO_2 requirement, H_2S production, growth in the presence of thionin and fuchsine dyes, reaction with mono-specific anti-sera (A, M, R) and agglutination with trypaflavine and crystal-violet.

Brucella isolates were further molecularly characterized at the species level using Abortus Melitensis Ovis Suis-PCR (AMOS-PCR) as previously described by (Scholz et al., 2008; Matope et al., 2009). Briefly, 25 µl of a reaction mixture containing $10\times$ PCR buffer, 10mM of deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) and 10 pmol/µl of primers, (0.2 μM each) of B. abortus, *B. melitensis*, *B. ovis*, *B. suis* and IS711-specific primer, 0.2 µl of 5U/µl of Taq DNA polymerase was used. HPLC was used to complete the 25µL. A total of 1μl DNA extraction template was added to the 24 l reaction mixture. The PCR was performed with Thermocycler. Amplification was performed with an initial temperature of 95°C for 5 minutes. This was followed by 30 cyclesof denaturation at 95°C for 1 minute, annealing at 58°C for 2 minutes, and elongation at 72°C for 2 minutes. The PCR products were incubated for a further five minutes at 72°C to allow elongation of products before storage at 4°C. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis using 1.5% agarose gel (w/v). Visible bands were considered positive reactions of appropriate sizes of (498 bp) for *B. abortus*, (731 bp) for *B. melitensis*, (976 bp) for *B. ovis* and (285 bp) for *B. suis*.

Results and Discussion
Brucellosis is considered one of the serious zoonotic diseases affecting animals and humans, caused by the genus *Brucella*. The significance of *B. melitensis* for sheep and goats changes with the geographic locality and can be affected by husbandry practices and the susceptibility of sheep breeds in the region. Thus, the present study intended to investigate the prevalence of brucellosis in sheep herds by commonly used diagnostic serological, bacteriological and molecular procedures and to identify the causative *Brucella* species in the study area.

Serum and milk samples of 610 sheep belonging to seven herds from Mahfoza village at Bilqase town of Dakahlia governorate were examined for brucellosis between November 2016 and April 2019. Different serological tests, including BAPAT, RBPT and MRT, were employed. As shown in Table 1, 7.8% (48/610), 7.2% (44/610) and 6.7% (41/610) animals were positive by BAPAT, RBPT and MRT, respectively. The difference in seropositivity may be attributed to differences

Table 1: Seroprevalence of brucellosis in different seven sheep herds using BAPA, RBPT and MRT at Dakahlia governorate

Herd	Number of animals	Test [1]		
		BAPAT no (%)	RBPT no (%)	MRT no (%)
Herd 1	70	3 (4.2%)	3 (4.2%)	3 (4.2%)
Herd 2	120	7 (5.8%)	5 (4.1%)	5 (4.1%)
Herd 3	109	9 (8.2%)	9 (8.2%)	7 (6.4%)
Herd 4	115	12 (10.4%)	11 (9.5%)	11 (9.5%)
Herd 5	93	8 (8.6%)	8 (8.6%)	8 (8.6%)
Herd 6	63	4 (6.3%)	3 (4.7%)	3 (4.7%)
Herd 7	40	5 (12.5%)	5 (12.5%)	4 (10%)
Total	610	48 (7.8%)	44 (7.2%)	41 (6.7%)

[1] Abbreviations: BAPAT; Buffered Acidified Plate Antigen Test, RBPT; Rose Bengal Plate Test, MRT; Milk Ring Test.

Table 2: Number of *Brucella* isolates obtained from aborted fetuses, milk and tissue samples

Animals	Aborted foeti		Milk samples		Tissue specimens		Total samples	Total positive
	Samples No.	Isolates No.	Samples No.	Isolates No.	Samples No.	Isolates No.		
Positive ewes	3	3 (100%)	41	12 (29.2%)	10	1 (10%)	54	16 (29.6%)

in sensitivity and specificity of the employed serological tests. BAPAT is more sensitive as a screening test and can complement RBPT in the program of control of bovine brucellosis (Montasser, 2002). However, RBPT is more specific than BAPAT due to its pH, which inhibited the non-specific agglutinins (Corbel, 1973). MRT diagnosed fewer positive cases than BAPAT and RBPT because MRT may be less sensitive to detect antibodies in milk containing low concentrations of antibodies or due to fat clustering factors (OIE, 2000). Brucellosis is a common zoonosis in Egypt, and the disease is prevalent nationwide among cattle, buffaloes, sheep and goats (Wareth et al., 2014). Surveillance and control of brucellosis in small ruminants can be improved by decreasing the proportion of uncontrolled movement of animals between villages, preventing trade in open markets, and regular performance of serological diagnosis and application of mass vaccination (Hegazy et al., 2020).

Isolation and identification of *Brucella* is still the gold standard for diagnosis and is considered an important tool to assure the flock's status and support the serological findings. In the current study, an isolation rate of 29.6% was observed. Sixteen *Brucella* strains were isolated from all examined aborted fetuses (3/3), from one tissue specimen (1/10) and milk samples (12/41) (Table 2). The low isolation rate (10%) obtained from tissue specimens of seropositive ewes may be attributed to the possibility of contamination of the samples and the fastidious nature of *Brucella* organisms. Smears from *Brucella* cultures were stained by the modified Ziel-Neelsen stain. Stained smears showed the presence of large aggregates of weakly acid-

fast organisms, which is considered presumptive evidence of *Brucella* infection. They are not truly acid-fast but are resistant to decolonization by weak acids and thus stain red by modified Zeil-Nielsen's method (OIE, 2012). All isolates presented smooth, transparent and convex colonies with intact borders. The surface was brilliant and gave a honey color under transmitted light.

AMOS-PCR was an effective method for rapid, sensitive, and accurate *Brucella* identification at the species level. AMOS-PCR identified all isolates as *B. melitensis*, the predominant *Brucella* spp. circulating in humans and livestock in the Middle East and Mediterranean countries, including Egypt (Abedi et al., 2020; Al-Sherida et al., 2020; Ebid et al., 2020; Wareth et al., 2020). In Egypt, *B. melitensis* has been isolated from cattle, buffalo, sheep, goats, camels, and humans (Abdel-Hamid et al., 2020; Sayour et al., 2020; Wareth et al., 2020). PCR technique is a sensitive and specific technique for direct detection of *Brucella* in serum samples from sheep and goats (Wareth et al., 2015) and milk samples (Abdali et al., 2020). BAPAT and MRT results were used to evaluate the efficacy of test and slaughter strategy in herd no. 2 and herd no. 4, respectively (Table 3). The results showed that the periodical testing of sheep with rapid elimination of positive cases could virtually eliminate the source of infection among sheep through the slaughtering of positive reactors, resulting in a gradual decrease of prevalence till zero%, beginning from the 8th examination up to 12th examination using BAPAT. On the other hand, based on MRT results obtained in herd no.4, it was shown that MRT compromised the identification of

Table 3: Evaluation of test and slaughter strategy in two sheep herds depend on the results of BAPAT and MRT results

Test No.	BAPAT[1] results Herd number 2			MRT[2] results Herd number 4		
	No. of animals	Positive cases	Negative cases	No. of animals	Positive cases	Negative cases
1st	120	7	113	115	11	104
2nd	113	4	109	104	8	96
3rd	109	3	106	96	5	91
4th	106	3	103	91	5	86
5th	103	0	0	86	6	80
6th	103	0	0	80	2	78
7th	103	1	102	78	1	77
8th	102	0	102	77	1	76
9th	102	0	102	76	0	76
10th	102	0	102	76	1	75
11th	102	0	102	75	0	75
12th	102	0	102	75	1	74

[1] BAPAT; Buffered Acidified Plate Antigen Test [2] MRT; Milk Ring Test.

positive animals and failed in diagnosing the infection inside the herd due to its inability to detect the low antibody concentration in milk, especially after parturition and abortion.

Using more than one serological test to diagnose positive cases is indispensable to eradicate the infection from the herds in endemic areas. The early release of the herd out of quarantine should be avoided, especially under unhygienic conditions and lack of controlled animals' movement (Hosein et al., 2018).Test and slaughter program is useful for managing outbreaks, especially when the high number of animals make the implementation of stamping-out unfeasible. However, the combination of test and slaughter program with mass vaccination will be a better eradication strategy. The appearance of positive cases after several successive negative results may be explained by the presence of other sources of infection in the herd, such as carriers, e.g., dogs and cats (Wareth et al., 2017), presence of latent infection (El-Diasty et al., 2018) or due to survival of the organisms in the pasture for an extended period.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study highlights the predominance of *B. melitensis* in Egypt and its potential risk for humans and animals. No single test was capable of conclusive diagnosis of brucellosis in endemic areas. *B. melitensis* is the prevalent species among sheep in the Dakahlia governorate. Unhygienic conditions and a husbandry system favoring mixed populations of different ages and sex and the contamination of the environment make the diagnosis of brucellosis challenging and difficult to eradicate. Thus, serology has to be combined with the molecular diagnosis to identify positive cases accurately, and test and slaughter strategy has to be accompanied by vaccination.

References

Abdali, F., Hosseinzadeh, S., Berizi, E., Pourmontaseri, M., 2020. Prevalence of *Brucella* species in unpasteurized dairy products consumed in shiraz province using PCR assay. Molecular Biology Research Communications 9, 117–121. 10.22099/mbrc.2020.37381.1506.

Abdel-Hamid, N.H., Elbauomy, E.M., Ghobashy, H.M., Sayour, A.E., Abdel-Haleem, M.H., 2017. Role of sheep and goat mobile flocks in the transmission of brucellosis to the household ruminants and the disease prevalence in these flocks. Animal Health Research Journal 5, 95–105.

Abdel-Hamid, N.H., El-bauomy, E.M., Ghobashy, H.M., Shehata, A.A., 2020. Genetic variation of *Brucella* isolates at strain level in Egypt. Veterinary Medicine and Science 6, 421–432. 10.1002/vms3.260.

Abedi, A.S., Hashempour-Baltork, F., Alizadeh, A.M., Beikzadeh, S., Hosseini, H., Bashiry, M., Taslikh, M., Javanmardi, F., Sheidaee, Z., Sarlak, Z., Mofid, V., Fakhri, Y., Mousavi Khaneghah, A., 2020. The prevalence of *Brucella* spp. in dairy products in the middle east region: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Tropica 202, 105241. 10.1016/j.actatropica.2019.105241.

Al-Sherida, Y., El-Gohary, A.H., Mohamed, A., El-Diasty, M., Wareth, G., Neubauer, H., Abdelkhalek, A., 2020. Sheep brucellosis in kuwait: A large-scale serosurvey, identification of *Brucella* species and zoonotic significance. Veterinary Sciences 7, 132. 10.3390/vetsci7030132.

Alton, G., Jones, L., Angus, R., Verger, J., 1988. Techniques for the Brucellosis Laboratory (Techniques et Pratiques). Institute National de la Recherche Agronomique, 75007 Paris.

Baddour, M., 2012. Diagnosis of brucellosis in humans: a review. Journal of Veterinary Advances 2, 149–156.

van den Brom, R., de Jong, A., van Engelen, E., Heuvelink, A., Vellema, P., 2020. Zoonotic risks of pathogens from sheep and their milk borne transmission. Small Ruminant Research 189, 106123. 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2020.106123.

Corbel, M., 1973. Studies on the mechanism of the rose bengal plate test for bovine brucellosis. British Veterinary Journal 129, 157–166. 10.1016/S0007-1935(17)36540-5.

Ducrotoy, M.J., Muñoz, P.M., Conde-Álvarez, R., Blasco, J.M., Moriyón, I., 2018. A systematic review of current immunological tests for the diagnosis of cattle brucellosis. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 151, 57–72. 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2018.01.005.

Ebid, M., El Mola, A., Salib, F., 2020. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats in the arabian gulf region. Veterinary World 13, 1495–1509. 10.14202/vetworld.2020.1495-1509.

Ebrahimi, A., Sheykh kanluye Milan, J., Mahzoonieh, M.R., Khaksar, K., 2014. Shedding rates and Sero-Prevalence of Brucella melitensis in lactating goats of shahrekord, iran. Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology URL: https://sites.kowsarpub.com/jjm/articles/18674.html, 10.5812/jjm.9394.

El-Diasty, M., 2009. Studies on causes of maintenance of Brucella infection among animals in Egypt. Thesis. Doctoral. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University.

El-Diasty, M., Wareth, G., Melzer, F., Mustafa, S., Sprague, L., Neubauer, H., 2018. Isolation of Brucella abortus and Brucella melitensis from seronegative cows is a serious impediment in brucellosis control. Veterinary Sciences 5, 28. 10.3390/vetsci5010028.

Elshazly, A.G., Youngs, C.R., 2019. Feasibility of utilizing advanced reproductive technologies for sheep breeding in Egypt. part 1. genetic and nutritional resources. Egyptian Journal of Sheep and Goats Sciences 14, 39–52. URL: https://ejsgs.journals.ekb.eg/article_33235.html.

FAOSTAT, . Live animals. URL: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/{QA}.

Fekete, A., Bantle, J., Halling, S.M., Sanborn, M., 1990. Preliminary development of a diagnostic test for Brucella using polymerase chain reaction. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 69, 216–227. 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1990.tb01512.x.

Hashem, M., El-Mandrawy, S., El-Diasty, M., Zidan, A., 2020. Hematological, biochemical and immunological studies on brucellosis in cows and ewes in Dakahlia and Damietta governorates, Egypt. Zagazig Veterinary Journal 48, 23–35. 10.21608/zvjz.2019.15557.1070.

Hegazy, Y.M., Schley, D., Ridler, A., Beauvais, W., Musallam, I., Guitian, J., 2020. Control of Brucella melitensis in endemic settings: A simulation study in the Nile Delta, Egypt. Transboundary and Emerging diseases 10.1111/tbed.13897.

Hosein, H.I., Zaki, H.M., Safwat, N.M., Menshawy, A.M.S., Rouby, S., Mahrous, A., Madkour, B.E.d., 2018. Evaluation of the general organization of veterinary services control program of animal brucellosis in Egypt: An outbreak investigation of brucellosis in buffalo. Veterinary World 11, 748–757. 10.14202/vetworld.2018.748-757.

Kalleshamurthy, T., Shekar, R., Niranjanamurthy, H.H., Natesan, K., Shome, B.R., Bambal, R.G., Sairiwal, L., Barbuddhe, S.B., Sahare, A., Kilari, S., Rahman, H., Shome, R., 2018. Assessment of fluorescence polarization assay: a candid diagnostic tool in Brucella abortus strain 19 vaccinated areas. Microbiology and Immunology 62, 694–701. 10.1111/1348-0421.12654.

Matope, G., Bhebhe, E., Muma, J., Skjerve, E., Dj, B., 2009. Characterization of some Brucella species from zimbabwe by biochemical profiling and AMOS-PCR. BMC Research Notes 2, 261. 10.1186/1756-0500-2-261.

OIE, 2000. Brucellosis (Brucella abortus, B. melitensis and B. suis).

OIE, 2012. Brucellosis ((Brucella abortus, B. melitensis and B. suis).

OIE, 2016. Brucellosis (Brucella abortus, B. melitensis and B. suis). URL: https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/3.01.04_BRUCELLOSIS.pdf.

Refai, M., 2002. Incidence and control of brucellosis in the near east region. Veterinary Microbiology 90, 81–110. 10.1016/S0378-1135(02)00248-1.

Ren, J., Peng, Q., 2020. A brief review of diagnosis of small ruminants brucellosis. Clinical Medicine Insights: Cardiology 28. 10.2174/0929867328666201231121226.

Sayour, A.E., Elbauomy, E., Abdel-Hamid, N.H., Mahrous, A., Carychao, D., Cooley, M.B., Elhadidy, M., 2020. MLVA fingerprinting of Brucella melitensis circulating among livestock and cases of sporadic human illness in Egypt. Transboundary and Emerging diseases 67, 2435–2445. 10.1111/tbed.13581.

Scholz, H.C., Hubalek, Z., Sedlacek, I., Vergnaud, G., Tomaso, H., Al Dahouk, S., Melzer, F., Kampfer, P., Neubauer, H., Cloeckaert, A., Maquart, M., Zygmunt, M.S., Whatmore, A.M., Falsen, E., Bahn, P., Gollner, C., Pfeffer, M., Huber, B., Busse, H.J., Nockler, K., 2008. Brucella microti sp. nov., isolated from the common vole microtus arvalis. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 58, 375–382. 10.1099/ijs.0.65356-0.

Wareth, G., El-Diasty, M., Melzer, F., Schmoock, G., Moustafa, S.A., El-Beskawy, M., Khater, D.F., Hamdy, M.E.R., Zaki, H.M., Ferreira, A.C., Ekateriniadou, L.V., Boukouvala, E., Abdel-Glil, M.Y., Menshawy, A.M.S., Sancho, M.P., Sakhria, S., Pletz, M.W., Neubauer, H., 2020. MLVA-16 genotyping of Brucella abortus and Brucella melitensis isolates from different animal species in Egypt: Geographical relatedness and the mediterranean lineage. Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland) 9. 10.3390/pathogens9060498.

Wareth, G., Hikal, A., Refai, M., Melzer, F., Roesler, U., Neubauer, H., 2014. Animal brucellosis in Egypt. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries 8, 1365–1373. 10.3855/jidc.4872.

Wareth, G., Melzer, F., El-Diasty, M., Schmoock, G., Elbauomy, E., Abdel-Hamid, N., Sayour, A., Neubauer, H., 2017. Isolation of Brucella abortus from a dog and a cat confirms their biological role in re-emergence and dissemination of bovine brucellosis on dairy farms. Transboundary and Emerging diseases 64, e27–e30. 10.1111/tbed.12535.

Wareth, G., Melzer, F., Tomaso, H., Roesler, U., Neubauer, H., 2015. Detection of *Brucella abortus* DNA in aborted goats and sheep in Egypt by real-time PCR. BMC Research Notes 8, 212. 10.1186/s13104-015-1173-1.