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**Abstract**

Both of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* (MG) and *Mycoplasma synoviae* (MS) infections are the most common mycoplasma infection in domestic poultry. The disease is associated with economic losses in poultry. MG and MS are commonly spread within chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) and turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo domesticus*) flocks; however, they are frequently isolated from quails (*Coturnix coturnix*) and several avian species. Diagnosis of MG or MS infections is confirmed by isolation of the organism in a cell-free medium or directly detection of its DNA in infected tissues or swab samples. Serological tests are also widely used for diagnosis. However, advances in molecular biology represented a rapid and sensitive alternative to the traditional culture methods requiring specialized techniques and sophisticated reagents. Several mycoplasma molecular diagnostic tests are implemented: including polymerase chain reaction (PCR), Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), arbitrary primed polymerase chain reactions (AP-PCR), and Multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction. Current control practices against mycoplasma infection include intense biosecurity, medication, and vaccination. However, the egg-borne nature of avian mycoplasma infection complicates controlling the infection. This review focuses on the advances in diagnosis and control of avian mycoplasma infection, especially MG and MS infections.
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**Introduction**

Avian mycoplasma belongs to mycoplasma genus, family *Mycoplasmataceae*, class *Mollicutes* based on molecular data, differentiation in morphology, nutritional requirements, and ecological habitat, mycoplasma is the smallest microorganism capable of self-replication with a size diameter as small as 150:300 nm and can pass through 0.45µm pore size filters (Cordova et al., 2016). The Mycoplasmas lack the cell wall making them resistant to β-lactam antibiotics and unstainable by Gram stain, mycoplasma cells consist of plasma membrane enclosing cytoplasm containing ribosomes and circular double stranded DNA (Bébéar and Pereyre, 2005).

The matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) can identify 27 species of avian mycoplasma, of which about 13 are associated with diseases in domestic poultry. *M. gallisepticum* (MG), *M. synoviae* (MS), *M. meleagridis*, *M. Iowa*, *M. gallinarum*, *M. iners*, *M. gallapavonis*, *M. gallinaceum*, *M. pullorum*, *M. lipofaciens*, *M. glycophilum*, *M. cloacae*, and *M. imitans* have been isolated from chickens and turkey (Baudler et al., 2019). Mycoplasmas may be transmitted horizontally through infectious aerosols of infected birds and contaminated feed, water, contact, and communicant birds. Transmission occurs vertically from parents to their offspring, through contamination of laid eggs “transovarial transmission” (Levisohn and Kleven, 2000; Nascimento et al., 2005). The egg-borne route is the most common means of spreading of the disease. The egg-borne nature of avian mycoplasma infection adds complexity and difficulty in controlling the infection. Therefore, the logical approach in preventing mycoplasma spread is to break the cycle by establishing primary breeding and multiplier flocks free of the infection to eradicate the disease.

With rare exceptions, mycoplasma is usually spread within the same species (because of their host selectivity) and between closely related species. MG and MS infections occur primarily in chickens and...
turkeys. However, they have been frequently isolated from quails (*Coturnix coturnix*) and several avian species (Nascimento et al., 2005). In this review, the advances of diagnosis and control of MG and MS, representing the most important avian mycoplasma infection in poultry are discussed.

**Avian Mycoplasma host range**

Avian mycoplasmas are frequently isolated from domestic poultry and other avian species. Their distribution seems to be worldwide. Most mycoplasma isolates belong to the genus mycoplasma, although *Acholeplasma* species and *Ureaplasma* species have been also isolated. mycoplasmas were isolated from chickens, chicken embryos, turkeys, ducks, geese, pigeons, Japanese quail, and their embryos. Surveillance of mycoplasma in 792 birds and embryos has revealed a 52% prevalence of mycoplasma. Where, MS and MG were the most frequently isolated species. *M. anatis* was found only in ducks and geese; *M. columbinasale*, *M. columbinum*, and *M. columbureale* only in pigeons, while *M. meleagrindis* and *M. gallopavonis* isolates confined to turkeys. *M. gallinarum*, *M. gallinaceum*, *M. pullorum* and *M. glycochilum* and *M. lipoferiens* were not uncommon but were mainly confined to the chicken (Sawicka et al., 2020). Currently, the species names have replaced the earlier serotype designations for avian Mycoplasmas. (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2020) (Figure 1).

**Avian mycoplasma virulence and pathobiology**

Pathogenic mycoplasma species of poultry can hemagglutinate and/or hemadsorb erythrocytes. Hemagglutination (HA) and hemadsorption (HAD) are different properties of mycoplasmas (García-Morales et al., 2014). The pathogenic avian mycoplasmas, MG, MS, *M. meleagrindis*, *M. iowae* and *M. imitans*, synthesize hemagglutinins that are immunogenic, variably expressed, surface proteins. The hemagglutinins of MG (pMGA), MS (VlhA), and *M. imitans* are lipoproteins, encoded by related multigene families that appear to have arisen by horizontal gene transfer.

MG also has genes encoding cytadhesins in its genome but these are present as a single copies, while the pMGA gene family contains 30 to 60 copies. The switch in expression of distinct pMGA genes (e.g. pMGA1.1 to pMGA1.9) generates antigenic variation, which is thought to be important in immune evasion but also has significance in the preparation of MG antigens for serological diagnosis (May et al., 2014; Orlov et al., 2018). The molecular mechanisms of MG attachment and subsequent virulence have identified a specialized terminal organelle, or bleb-like structure, that serves as an attachment tip. Other potential adhesion structures include surface proteins containing highly reiterated domains. These proteins are members of large gene families, and individual members often undergo high-frequency phase variation, which is thought to promote evasion of the host immune system (Papazisi et al., 2002).

Virulence factors associated with MG include motility, cytadhesion, phenotypic variation, nutrient acquisition, the ability to invade host cells, and modulating the host’s immune response to infection. MG moves by gliding, allowing the organism to access target tissues and break host physical barriers, such as respiratory mucus and ciliary activity (Mizutani and Miyata, 2019). Attachment of MG to host cells (cytadhesion) is a prerequisite for successful colonization and subsequent pathogenesis. MG possesses a gene encoding a putative cysteine protease found to be capable of digesting chicken IgG, suggesting a novel prolonged MG survival mechanism against active host antibody response (Cizelj et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2020).

**Clinical disease in poultry**

The MG incubation period varies from 6 to 21 days in experimentally infected chickens or turkeys with uniform and high dosages. Sinusitis often develops in experimentally inoculated turkeys within 6–10 days. However, the onset and extent of clinical signs following a known exposure can vary depending on MG strain virulence, complicating infections, bird age, and environmental and other stressors (Gaunson et al., 2006).

Chickens and turkeys often develop clinical infections near the onset of egg production, suggesting a subclinical infection that becomes clinical in response to stressors. Seropositivity may be the first indicator of MG infection with less virulent strains in older birds. Infectious synovitis has been seen in 6-day-old chicks, suggesting that the incubation period can be relatively short in birds infected by egg transmission. The incubation period following contact exposure is generally 1–21 days. Antibodies may be detected before the clinical disease becomes evident. In birds experimentally infected at 3–6 weeks of age, the incubation period varies from 2 to 20 days, depending on the administration route (Sawicka et al., 2020). Intra-throat inoculation results in infection of the trachea and sinuses as early as four days and readily spreads to contact birds. Air sac lesions appear by 17–21 days after the aerosol challenge. The incubation period also varies depending on the inoculum titer and pathogenicity (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2020).

The most characteristic clinical signs of naturally occurring MG infection in adult flocks are tracheal rales, nasal discharge, coughing, reduced feed consumption, and weight loss. In laying flocks, egg production declines but is usually maintained at a lowered level. However, poultry flocks may have serologic evidence of infection with no apparent clinical signs especially if they are recovered carriers. Male birds may have the most evident symptoms, which are often more severe during winter (Stipkovits et al., 2012). Severe outbreaks with high morbidity and mortality observed in broilers are frequently observed due to concurrent infections and environmental factors.

Cases of keratoconjunctivitis caused by MG infection in commercial layer pullets are associated with facial edema, eyelid swelling, increased lachrimation, and conjunctivitis. MG infection colonizes the respiratory tract causing tracheitis, airsaculitis, and pneumonia.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Usual Host</th>
<th>Glucose Fermentation</th>
<th>Arginine Hydrolysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>M. gallisepticum</em></td>
<td>Chicken, Turkeys, House finch</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. synoviae</em></td>
<td>Chicken, Turkeys</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. gallinarum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. gallinaceum</em></td>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. glycoophilum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. iners</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. lipofaciens</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. pullorum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. anatis</em></td>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. anseris</em></td>
<td>Geese</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. gallopavonis</em></td>
<td>Turkeys</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. iowae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. meleagridis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. cloacae</em></td>
<td>Turkeys, Geese</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. imitans</em></td>
<td>Ducks, Goose, Partridge</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. columbinesale</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. columbinitum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. columborale</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>![Green Dot]</td>
<td>![Red Dot]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Host range and biochemical criteria of some avian mycoplasma species (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2020). Green and red dots denote positive and negative reactions, respectively.
(Figure 2). Occasionally MG infections are associated with conjunctivitis, salpingitis, arthritis, and fatal encephalopathy (Stipkovits et al., 2012). MS infection causes infectious synovitis and sometimes the upper respiratory disease of chicken and turkey, especially when co-infected with Newcastle Disease (ND), Low Pathogenic Avian Influenza (LPAI), and Infectious Bronchitis (IB) viruses or their vaccines (de Cássia Figueira Silva et al., 2008). MS infection may cause a reduction of 5-10% in egg production and 5-7% in hatchability, with more than 5% mortality in the offspring without obvious clinical symptoms in breeder flocks (Kusra et al., 2019; Cisneros-Tamayo et al., 2020).

Lesions may be found throughout the upper and lower respiratory tract. However, the severity of these lesions varies depending on the virulence and pathogenicity of the infecting strain, concurrent respiratory pathogens, and stress factors. Catarrhal exudates may be present in the nasal passages, infraorbital sinuses, trachea, and bronchi. Mild sinusitis, tracheitis, and air sacculitis are observed in uncomplicated cases of mycoplasmosis in chickens. Interstitial pneumonia and salpingitis are seen in chickens and turkeys. Other findings may include conjunctivitis, corneal opacities, and periocular edema (Pattison et al., 2008). Thickening and turbidity of the air sacs, exudative accumulations, fibrinopurulent pericarditis, and perihepatitis are seen in E. coli concurrently infected chickens. Lesions in complicated cases include sometimes, swelling and edema of peri-articular tissue, excess joint fluid, erosion of the articular surface (arthritis), inflammation of tendovaginal sheaths, bursae and the synovial membrane (synovitis), and pale areas in the cerebrum may be observed (Stipkovits et al., 2012).

MS infection in chickens causes infectious synovitis with visible yellowish purulent exudate or a yellow cheese-like substance accumulated in the joint and wing cavities (Sun et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2020). Systemic MS infections in broiler chickens cause septicaemic lesions and increased carcass condemnation rate. Condemnations at the processing plant were due to air sacculitis and keel bursitis. However, the involvement of several organs, including the keel bursa, liver, spleen, brain, choroid of the eye, nerves, and skeletal muscle associated with vasculitis, were reported (Tebyanian et al., 2014).

**Advances in the diagnosis of avian mycoplasmosis**

MG or MS infections can be confirmed by isolating the organism in a cell-free medium or directly detecting its DNA in infected tissues or swab samples. Serological tests are also widely used for diagnosis. The diagnosis of MG and MS infection is traditionally made by serology (Pattison et al., 2008). Several serological tests have been used to detect MG and MS antibodies, but specificity and sensitivity have been lacking to some degree in all of them (Ali et al., 2015). The rapid plate agglutination test (Figure 3) is the only test that detects both IgM and IgG antibodies; this allows earlier detection of the infection from a few days up to one week in advance compared to the ELISA test (Levisohn and Kleven, 2000).

For MG culture, swabs from the trachea or choanal cleft, oropharynx, esophagus, trachea, cloaca, and phallus are sampled. Airsacculitis lesions may be sampled; however, MG organisms tend to disappear from lesions after a few weeks but persist in the upper respiratory tract (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2020). Samples from dead birds may be taken from the nasal cavity, infra-orbital sinuses, trachea, and air sacs. Exudates can be aspirated from the infra-orbital sinuses and joints in MS (Asgharzade et al., 2013). Samples for MS isolation include hock joints with synovitis. However, MS can be isolated from the trachea and air sacs from chickens with air sacculitis without hock joint lesions.

Generally, choanal cleft swabs yield higher isolation rates and are more easily obtained than tracheal swabs. Suspension of tracheal or air sacs exudates, lungs, and infra-orbital sinuses exudate can be inoculated directly to Pleuro pneumonia-like Organism (PPLO) broth or agar medium. Recently, direct MG and MS detection by PCR and qPCR in the collected swabs are adequate for molecular detection (Ball et al., 2020). The isolation of Mycoplasma from field samples can be easily and rapidly attained in the early infection before the organism is eliminated or overwhelmed by the invasion of the tissue by other microorganisms, especially E. coli. Also, the anti-Mycoplasma substances as antibiotics in tissue, antisera, or inhibitors released from tissues after death may influence the recovery of
mycoplasma. Mycoplasma media generally contain protein digest and meat infusion base supplemented with serum or serum fraction, yeast extract, glucose, and bacterial inhibitors. MG can be isolated on Frey’s medium consisting of PPLO broth base (2.1%), PPLO agar base (1%), horse or swine serum (12-15%), glucose (1%), yeast extract (10%), thallium acetate (1%), and penicillin G sodium (0.5%) is an ideal media for isolation of MG (Heleili et al., 2011). In contrast, MS can grow in Frey’s media after adding 0.02% if reduced NAD+ and L-cysteine (Hennigan et al., 2012). Colonies appear as circular smooth with dense raised center (fried egg appearance) (Figure 4). The survival periods of MG inoculated into liquid media ranged from 7-185 days depending on the media components and temperature. MG strains can survive for at most 28 days at 4°C, while at 30°C it can’t survive for more than 14 days (Nagatomo et al., 2001).

**Figure 3:** Seroagglutination test showing positive reaction as definite clumps to mycoplasma isolates from field cases (Poultry Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Egypt).

Culturing of mycoplasma is laborious, can take 3–4 weeks, and may fail in mycoplasma detection or be hampered by mixed infections. Therefore, rapid and sensitive detection methods, like the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method, can be advantageous. The pooling of samples can also reduce PCR cost, especially for epidemiological studies (Asgharzade et al., 2013; Fraga et al., 2013).

**Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

The PCR represents a rapid and sensitive alternative to the traditional culture methods requiring specialized techniques and sophisticated reagents. A major advantage of the implementation of MG-PCR technology is the diagnosis of a wide range of poultry diseases, for which PCR is now one of the tests of choice. PCR techniques are interesting alternatives for the detection and analysis of DNA with high specificity, sensitivity, and capability for a large scale of data and economic viability. These tests have been used for the rapid detection and identification of MG and MS from cultures or directly from clinical samples without the need for isolation (Hess et al., 2007).

The development of molecular typing presents new opportunities for epidemiological studies and the identification of reservoirs of infection. A multi-species PCR and restriction fragment length polymorphism targeting a 780-base-pair DNA fragment within the 16S rRNA gene of MG, MS, and MI does not amplify other avian Mycoplasmas or other bacteria (Garcia et al., 1995). PCR primers specific to MG containing a unique 660-nucleotide intergenic spacer region (IGSR) between the 16S and the 23S rRNA genes. The MG IGSR PCR has been tested on 18 avian mollicute species and has confirmed as MG specific (Raviv et al., 2007). Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) of the 16S ribosomal nucleic acid (rRNA) of mycoplasma has been developed to detect viable MG. The 16S rRNA of MG was detected up to approximately 20-25 h at 37°C, 22-25 h at 16°C, and 23-27 h at 4°C (Tan et al., 2014). The RT-PCR method was applied during in-vivo study of drug efficacy using embryonated commercial broiler-breeder eggs treated with Macrolide after MG inoculation via the yolk. The PCR and RT-PCR combination has been proved to be capable of detecting and differentiate viable from non-viable MG (Tan et al., 2014).

The increased use of MG live vaccines requires a rapid test to differentiate the MG strains from the live vaccine strains ts-11 and 6/85 (Sulyok et al., 2019b). PCR is diagnostic enough to differentiate vaccine strain ts-11, and MG field isolates based on the identification of restriction enzyme sites in the 300-base pair (bp) mgc2-PCR amplicon present in ts-11 and missing in MG isolates from field outbreaks (Lysnyansky et al., 2005).

**Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) arbitrary primed polymerase chain reactions (AP-PCR)**

Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) or arbitrary primed polymerase chain reaction (AP-PCR) are DNA fingerprinting methods which has proven very useful for strain differentiation. RAPD banding patterns are, however, prone to variability and are difficult to reproduce and interpret. Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) has provided accurate and reproducible strain differentiation but is somewhat complex. The isolation of MG in pure culture is a preliminary requirement for these DNA fingerprinting techniques (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2020). The RAPD technique is used to differentiate among different strains of MG. The similarity percent of DNA profiles of different MG species is higher between homologous species heterologous ones.

RAPD analysis produced reproducible banding patterns based on which various distinct amplification patterns can be detected. MG isolates demonstrated specific RAPD profiles compared to reference strains (S6 and Mg SS) and vaccine strain (ts-11). The AP-PCR fingerprinting was used to successfully amplify a characteristic fragment of 369bp of the 16s rRNA genes of avian mycoplasma spp. MG and MS field and refer-
ence strains. However, *M. pullorum*, *M. gallinaceum*, *M. iowae*, and *M. gallinarum* are not amplified (El-Shater et al., 2002). Therefore, identifying the genotypic diversity and heterogeneity among MG isolated from the field can be used for epidemiological studies (Peighambari et al., 2006).

**Multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction (Multiplex MGMS)**

Multiplex MGMS is designed with primers and probes specific for different mycoplasma species. The test showed 100% specificity and sensitivity in the MG analysis and 94.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity in the MS analysis from several studies. Another developed TaqMan® real-time polymerase chain reaction (TaqMan RT-PCR) targeting the surface protein (*mgc2*) exhibited higher sensitivity and applicable accuracy than other molecular and serological techniques (Fraga et al., 2013).

Recently, a field-deployable POCKIT™ device consisted of an insulated isothermal polymerase chain reaction (iiPCR) assay to detect MS in the farm was developed. The detection limit has shown 95% of nine genome equivalents by testing serial dilutions of standard DNA. The detection endpoint for MS genomic DNA was comparable to a reference real-time PCR. The technique is highly specific; thus, it is applicable directly on clinical samples, avoiding technical problems associated with isolation, which is particularly important in the case of mycoplasmas. Additionally, the MAMAs technique is a helpful tool for detecting mixed infections with a sensitivity similar to those of the wild-type and vaccine type strains. Another advantage is that the technique is designed with the same thermal profile, thus it can be simultaneously applied and can be performed on basic real-time PCR platforms and conventional PCR equipment coupled with agarose gel electrophoresis (Sulyok et al., 2019).

**Real-time Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification assay (LAMP)**

The LAMP assay has emerged as an affordable and rapid molecular diagnostic technique based on amplifying the mycoplasma *mgc2* gene (Kursa et al., 2015; Ehtisham-ul Haque et al., 2017). With the advantage of not requiring specialised instrumentation, the techniques is able to obviate the limitations associated with PCR (Aryan et al., 2010; Mansour et al., 2015). A LAMP reaction takes place under isothermal conditions of 60–65°C with high strand displacement activity performed by *Bst* or *Bsm* DNA polymerase (Zhang et al., 2015) with 2 inner, 2 outer, or 2 loop primers that recognise 6-8 specific sequences on the target DNA (Woźniakowski et al., 2011; Kursa et al., 2015).

**Mycoplasma prevention and control**

Current control practices against mycoplasma infection include intense biosecurity and surveillance via serological monitoring of flocks, *Mycoplasma* isolation techniques, and DNA-based detection methods. Biosecurity and serological surveillance measures have mainly been successful at minimizing mycoplasma outbreaks among the turkey and chicken breeder flocks, in which outbreaks occur only in a sporadic nature. Furthermore, the "all-in-all out" nature of the meat-type turkey and broiler industries allows for complete eradication of infected flocks (Evans et al., 2005).

Since all pathogenic avian Mycoplasmas are vertically transmitted, obtaining replacement stock from Mycoplasma-free sources is essential (Armour and Ferguson-Noel, 2015). The major poultry breeders have eradicated MG, MS, and *M. meleagridis* from their genetic lines, and significant progress is being made to eliminate *M. iowae* from genetic lines. In the
event of infection of genetic lines or grandparent flocks with MG, MS, or *M. meleagridis*, the primary breeding companies will eliminate infected poultry flocks (Kleven, 2008; Buim et al., 2009).

The most significant risk factor for avian mycoplasma is the presence of infected flocks nearby. MG and MS can survive in the environment for extended periods than previously assumed, thus increasing the risk of contaminating flocks by indirect exposure (Kleven, 2008). A consistently applied monitoring system is essential for the prevention of mycoplasma infections. Besides, an early detection system is of extreme importance to prevent contamination of other flocks. Adding young males to improve fertility in older breeder flocks is especially hazardous (Fiorentini et al., 2003). In general, monitoring fewer samples more frequently is preferred to testing large numbers of samples less regularly. Though time-consuming and laborious, the isolation and identification of mycoplasma remain the “gold standard” for diagnosing mycoplasma infections (Razin and Hayflick, 2010). The PCR test application is a rapid, sensitive, and specific method instead of culture to detect specific mycoplasma species DNA (Fraga et al., 2013).

**Medication**

The lack of cell wall makes mycoplasmas resistant to β-lactam antibiotics such as penicillin or cephalosporins (Chernova et al., 2016). However, they tend to be sensitive to macrolides, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, and others (Abd El-Hamid et al., 2019). Antibiotic medication has been used to reduce egg transmission and improve egg production in MG-infected commercial layers (Kleven, 2008). Dipping of hatching eggs in antibiotic solution and/or injection of individual eggs has been used to reduce or eliminate MG and *M. meleagridis* egg transmission. Currently, tylosin or tetracyclines are the most commonly used products in the U.S. to minimize egg transmission or prophylactic treatment to prevent respiratory disease in broilers or commercial turkeys (Puvača et al., 2020). Effective antibiotic medication can be practical and useful in preventing economic losses associated with avian mycoplasma infections, but it should not be considered a long-term solution.

Highly effective products such as enrofloxacin or tilmicosin are not approved for use in poultry in the U.S (Hong et al., 2015). A typical treatment program in infected breeding stock may consist of continuous medication in the feed or treatment for 5–7 days each month. Treatment may reduce MG populations in the respiratory tract, potentially reducing the risk of spreading to neighboring flocks. Medication of naturally infected birds with enrofloxacin was highly effective in reducing or eliminating upper respiratory infection with MG but had little effect on MS populations (Garmyn et al., 2019). Nevertheless, even though antibiotic medication can be an effective tool for reducing egg transmission, clinical signs, and lesions. However, medication cannot be depended on to eliminate the infection from a flock, and the misuse of antibiotics may result in resistance development.

The antimicrobial use after mycoplasma vaccination may interfere with vaccine strain persistence and efficacy (field strains were more commonly observed in flocks that had treatments after immunization). Increased minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) to various antimicrobials were reported in different mycoplasma isolates. A recent study demonstrated low MICs to tetracyclines, tiamulin, and tylosin, however, increased tilmicosin and high tylosin MICs were observed in both MS and MG isolates (Morrow et al., 2020). The MICs of spiramycin and tilmicosin were higher in turkeys than chicken isolates of MG, while the enrofloxacin and tylosin MICs were higher for chickens compared turkeys isolates. In MS, high MICs of macrolides “spiramycin, tylosin and tilmicosin” in chicken isolates compared to turkey isolates (de Jong et al., 2021).

**Vaccination**

Vaccination is an option for controlling MG or MS when biosecurity measures fail to prevent poultry flocks’ infection. Both live vaccines and killed vaccines (bacterins) are currently available.

**Inactivated vaccines/Bacterins**

The inactivated mycoplasma vaccines are protective, but their use is not cost-effective (Ishfaq et al., 2020). Studies demonstrated that bacterins were efficacious in preventing respiratory lesions in chickens and proved beneficial in reducing transmission and production losses. However, these bacterin's efficacy was less effective than live vaccines due to their temporary capability to control MG infection with negligible effect in protecting the chicken respiratory system from MG. Therefore, bacterins are of minimal value in commercial flocks where long-term control of MG infection is needed (Kleven, 2008; Ishfaq et al., 2020). Recently, aerosolized liposomal nanoparticles vaccine, encapsulating antigens of H9N2 and MG and *Echinacea* extract induced local immunity in broilers against infection and improved production performance in challenged birds (Kumosani et al., 2020).

**Live attenuated vaccines**

Live MG vaccines include the F-strain and attenuated strains ts-11 and 6/85. The F-strain vaccine is efficacious and virtually nonpathogenic under field conditions reduces antibiotic requirements and mortality. The F-strain vaccine is preferable on sites where wild-type MG is very virulent and can displace a virulent MG strain in a commercial flock. The F-strain vaccine can be administered as early as 2 weeks before infection by intranasal and intraocular and coarse spray (Leigh et al., 2018). However, the F-strain’s disadvantages are mainly due to its pathogenicity and transmissibility to broilers and turkey (Liu et al., 2013; Leigh et al., 2018).

The strain ts-11 is less virulent and less infectious than the F-strain and provides a somewhat weaker, but usually effective, long-term vaccine-dose dependent protective immunity (Raviv et al., 2008; Vance et al., 2008). This strain is administered by eye drop, persists in the chicken for long periods, and stimulates
a detectable although variable systemic antibody response (Biró et al., 2005; Gates et al., 2008). Strain ts-11 can be used safely in combination with respiratory virus vaccines (Biró et al., 2005; Armour and Ferguson-Noel, 2015). Strain 6/85 also stimulates a weaker protective immune response than F-strain and of low virulence and infectivity (Viscione et al., 2009). This strain is administered by aerosol, may not persist in vaccinated birds, and may fail to stimulate a detectable systemic antibody response (Peebles et al., 2008; Viscione et al., 2009). Another novel live-attenuated MG vaccine (K5054) was isolated from turkeys and proved to be effective in turkeys and chickens against virulent strains of MG (Ferguson-Noel et al., 2012; Ferguson-Noel and Williams, 2015). The K-strain is a vaccine strain with, at minimum, equivalent efficacy to two commercially available live MG vaccines and has the potential to protect vaccinated birds from respiratory and reproductive lesions, as well as colonization with field strains (Ferguson-Noel and Williams, 2015).

Concluding remarks

Avian mycoplasma infections are widely spreading among poultry flocks causing a great economic loss in poultry industry. Although MG and MS are the most important pathogens in poultry involved in chronic respiratory disease (CRD), there are other types of mycoplasma isolated from chicken flocks suffering from CRD. Mycoplasma in chickens is not only causing CRD but also open the way for other co-infesting pathogen as E. coli, LPAI (H9N1), and IB through evading the immune system. Isolation of mycoplasma by traditional culture method is still the gold standard in diagnosis of avian Mycoplasmosis but it is a laborious, difficult and time wasting method, therefore PCR is still an alternative to traditional culture method as it is sensitive, rapid and easy to apply.

Prevention and control of M. Mycoplasma infection in poultry farms mainly achieved by obtaining chicks from breeder flocks free from mycoplasma and this can be achieved by the frequent vaccination of these flocks by both live and inactivated vaccines, so the current field state requires further studies for development of other types of vaccines have the ability to prevent the infection or at least prevent the shedding and vertical transmission of mycoplasma.
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